

1 **A.30 HOOVER’S SPURGE (*CHAMAESYCE***  
 2 ***HOOVERI*)**



photo courtesy CDEFA

3 **A.30.1 Legal and Other Status**


4 Hoover’s spurge (*Chamaesyce hooveri*) is federally listed as  
 5 threatened under the Endangered Species Act throughout its  
 6 range. Hoover’s spurge has no status under the California  
 7 Endangered Species Act (DFG 2011). The California Native  
 8 Plant Society (CNPS) includes Hoover’s spurge on List 1B, rare and endangered in California  
 9 and elsewhere (CNPS 2006).

10 Critical habitat has been designated for Hoover’s spurge, including one location in Butte County.  
 11 In the Butte Regional HCP/NCCP Plan Area, 8 acres (3 hectares [ha]) of critical habitat have been  
 12 designated for Hoover’s spurge (Unit 2) (71 FR 7118). This location is on private property south  
 13 of Chico along Highway 99 and 0.4 mile south of the junction with Pentz Road. This location  
 14 includes the only reported location for Hoover’s spurge in Butte County.

15 **A.30.2 Species Distribution and Status**

16 **A.30.2.1 Range and Status**

17 Hoover’s spurge is found in vernal pools on remnant alluvial fans and depositional stream



18 terraces over a distance of 528 kilometers  
 19 (km) (240 miles) along the eastern margin  
 20 of the Central Valley (62 FR 14338).

21 Historically, Hoover’s spurge was known  
 22 to occur in Northeastern Sacramento  
 23 Valley, San Joaquin Valley, Solano-  
 24 Colusa, and Southern Sierra Foothills  
 25 Vernal Pool Regions. In the 1930s and  
 26 1940s, the species was known from  
 27 collections in only three locations: Yettem  
 28 and Visalia in Tulare County, and near  
 29 Vina in Tehama County. From 1974  
 30 through 1987, 21 additional occurrences  
 31 were reported, most from Tehama County  
 32 and one to three occurrences were found  
 33 in each of Butte, Merced, Stanislaus, and  
 34 Tulare counties (USFWS 2005).

1 As of October 2006, the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB) lists 30 occurrences of  
2 Hoover’s spurge. Of these, one each in Tehama and Tulare counties are classified as extirpated  
3 and two, one each in Butte and Tehama counties, are “possibly extirpated” because the species  
4 had not been observed for two consecutive years during surveys in the mid-1980s. Of the 26  
5 occurrences presumed to be extant, 14 occur in the Vina Plains of Tehama and Butte counties  
6 within the Northeastern Sacramento Valley Vernal Pool Region, with the majority of these (12)  
7 in Tehama County. The remaining 12 occurrences are five in vernal pools in Tulare County,  
8 three in Glenn County, two in Stanislaus County, one in Merced County, and one other location  
9 in Butte County (USFWS 2005, CNDDDB 2006).

#### 10 **A.30.2.2 Distribution and Status in the Plan Area**


11 Four locations of Hoover’s spurge have been recorded in Butte County, three extant and one  
12 possibly extirpated (see Figure A-30). In 1987, populations were found at two locations north of  
13 Chico. One population contained 500 plants in a heavily grazed area and was located at Vina  
14 Plains in a vernal pool bed that had been scraped previously by a bulldozer, northwest of Chico  
15 and 0.5 mile (0.8 km) southwest of the intersection of Highway 99 and Cana Highway. The  
16 second population was 2.3 miles (3.7 km) south-southwest of the intersection of Highway 99 and  
17 Rowles Road and contained at least 10,000 plants within a vernal pool formed in an intermittent  
18 drainage. Both of these populations are considered threatened by grazing. The third population  
19 is located south of Chico at Pentz vernal pool area, southeast of the junction of Pentz Road and  
20 Highway 99. This population contained 1,000–2,000 individuals, and the record noted that,  
21 although the population is in a heavily grazed area, it appears to be “large and probably stable”  
22 under the current grazing practices (CNDDDB 2006). The trend for these populations was  
23 unknown (CNDDDB 2006).

24 An additional population noted at Wurlitzer Ranch, just south of Haille Road, is believed to be  
25 extirpated. Fewer than 20 plants were recorded at this location in 1978, and none were seen in  
26 1986 and 1987. This is a large, isolated vernal pool in a winter grazed pasture that is heavily  
27 trampled (CNDDDB 2006).

#### 28 **A.30.3 Habitat Requirements and Special Considerations**

29 Hoover’s spurge is restricted to vernal pools and, in Butte County, occurs in valley and foothill  
30 grasslands on volcanic mudflow or clay substrate at 75 to 400 feet (25 to 130 meters) elevation  
31 (CNDDDB 2006). Natural pools in which the plant occurs are primarily classified as Northern  
32 Hardpan vernal pools and Northern Claypan vernal pools (Sawyer and Keeler-Wolf 1995). In  
33 the Northeastern Sacramento Valley Vernal Pool Region, occupied pools are generally on acidic  
34 soils over iron-silica cemented hardpan. Previous studies indicated the pools supporting this  
35 species varied in size from 0.47 to 600 acres (0.19 to 243 ha), with a median area of 1.43 acres  
36 (0.58 ha).

37



1  
2  
3

**Figure A-30. Hoover’s Spurge Modeled Habitat and Recorded Occurrences**

1 The species may occur along the margins or in the deepest portions of the dried pool-bed,  
2 although deeper pools seemed to provide better habitat because the duration of inundation is  
3 longer and other vegetation would be less likely to establish in the deeper portions, which would  
4 limit competition from other plants (USFWS 2005). Two of the most frequent associates of  
5 Hoover’s spurge are rare vernal pool grasses, Greene’s tuctoria (*Tuctoria greenei*) and hairy  
6 Orcutt grass (*Orcuttia pilosa*), both found in Butte County vernal pools. Other native plant  
7 species found growing with Hoover’s spurge in Butte County include water shamrock (*Marsilea*  
8 *vestita*), woolly marbles (*Psilocarpus brevissimus*), dowingia (*Dowlingia* spp.), spike rush  
9 (*Eleocharis* spp.), coyote thistle (*Eryngium vaseyi*), annual hair grass (*Deschamsia*  
10 *danthonioides*), adobe allocarya (*Plagiobothryus acanthocarpus*), navarretia (*Navarretia*  
11 *leucocephala*), Tehama navarretia (*Navarretia heteranda*), and clover (*Trifolium variegatum*).  
12 Nonnative plants include prickle grass (*Crypsis* spp.), common unicorn plant (*Proboscidea*  
13 *louisianica*), and Mediterranean barley (*Hordeum marinum* ssp. *gussoneanum*) (CNDDDB 2006).

#### 14 **A.30.4 Life History**

15 Hoover’s spurge is an annual, prostrate, spreading, herbaceous plant that forms gray-green mats  
16 2.0 to 39.4 inches (5 to 100 centimeters [cm]) in diameter. The fruit is a spherical capsule 0.08  
17 inch (2 millimeters [mm]) in diameter on a stalk that hangs over the edge of the cup. The  
18 capsule typically contains one to two tiny white seeds in each of three locules (capsule  
19 chambers). The blooming time for Hoover’s spurge is July to August, with one cyathium located  
20 between each pair of leaves (Hickman 1993, USFWS 2005).

21 Hoover’s spurge is a summer annual, with the seeds germinating after the water evaporates from  
22 the pools. The plants cannot grow in standing water. Plants have an indeterminate growth  
23 pattern that allows them to continue growing as long as sufficient moisture is available. The  
24 proportion of surviving seedlings to reproduction has not been documented, but in years of  
25 below-normal rainfall, seedling survival was characterized as low. The flowering time varies  
26 among the populations with those in Merced and Tulare counties typically flowering in late May  
27 through July, whereas those farther north in Stanislaus County and the Sacramento Valley flower  
28 from mid-June into October. Seed set apparently begins soon after flowering and seed  
29 production has not been quantified or studied in relation to environmental factors; large plants  
30 may produce several hundred seeds, which can remain dormant until the appropriate temperature  
31 and moisture conditions occur. Several types of insects were observed visiting the flowers of  
32 Hoover’s spurge and may potentially serve as pollinators. Related species in the spurge family  
33 are pollinated by flies, and the glands on the cyathium produce nectar, which is attractive to  
34 insects. Related species in the genus *Euphorbia* typically are cross-pollinated because the female  
35 flowers on each plant mature before the male, although it is not known if this is the case for  
36 Hoover’s spurge (USFWS 2005).

### 1 A.30.5 Threats

2 Threats to vernal pool habitat and species in general, including Hoover’s spurge, are described in the  
3 Recovery Plan for Vernal Pool Ecosystems for California and Southern Oregon (Recovery Plan),  
4 approved by the USFWS in December 2005 (USFWS 2005) and include the following:

- 5 • Habitat loss and fragmentation generally resulting from urbanization, agricultural conversion,  
6 mining, and also occurring as a result of habitat alteration and degradation due to changes to  
7 natural hydrology, invasive species, incompatible grazing regimes (including insufficient  
8 grazing for prolonged periods), infrastructure projects (such as roads and utility projects),  
9 recreational activities (such as off-highway vehicles and hiking), erosion, climatic and  
10 environmental change, and contamination.
- 11 • Conversion of land uses such as from grasslands or pastures to more intensive agricultural  
12 uses, such as croplands or from one crop type to another, has contributed and continues to  
13 contribute to the decline of vernal pools in general and is identified as a specific threat to  
14 Hoover’s spurge, especially in Stanislaus County (USFWS 2005). Three extant locations for  
15 Hoover’s spurge in Butte County are located on private property that is used for grazing  
16 (CNDDDB 2006). While livestock do not typically graze on this species, as it grows low to  
17 the ground and contains toxic milky sap, cattle trampling has been identified as a reason for  
18 the decline of this species at two locations, including one of the populations in Butte County.
- 19 • Competition from invasive species. In addition, native plant species that occupy the same  
20 microhabitat can also compete with Hoover’s spurge. Native competitors of Hoover’s spurge  
21 include coyote thistle (*Eryngium* spp.), alkali mallow (*Malvella leprosa*), lippia  
22 (*Phylanodiflora* sp.), hard-stemmed tule (*Scirpus acutus* var. *occidentalis*), alkali bulrush  
23 (*Scirpus maritimus*), and cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium*). Nonnative competitors include  
24 bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*) and swamp grass (*Crypsis schoenoides*). Competition  
25 from invasive native and nonnative plant species is identified as a threat to nine of the extant  
26 occurrences of Hoover’s spurge, including eight in Vina Plains in Tehama County and one in  
27 Glenn County (CNDDDB 2006). Increasing dominance by competitors may also contribute to  
28 changes in hydrology and livestock grazing practices (USFWS 2005).
- 29 • Changes in hydrology that result in a change in the timing, frequency, and duration of  
30 inundation in vernal pools, creating conditions that render existing vernal pools unsuitable for  
31 vernal pool species. Five of the reported occurrences of this species are considered  
32 threatened by changed in hydrologic regime; four are in the San Joaquin Valley, in Tulare  
33 and Merced counties, and the fifth is in the Vina Plains in Tehama County (CNDDDB 2006).  
34 The hydrology in the vicinity of these pools or pool complexes has been altered by  
35 construction of flood control structures, such as levees and other water barriers, and changes  
36 in runoff, such as irrigation or construction of roads and culverts. Although no occurrences  
37 have been completely extirpated due to hydrologic changes, the species has been eliminated

1 from one or more individual pools at several sites, and a number of the remaining populations  
2 appear to be in decline (USFWS 2005).

- 3 • The decline of pollinator species due to habitat fragmentation and the loss of upland  
4 habitats that support pollinators. Specific insects that pollinate Hoover’s spurge have not  
5 yet been identified; therefore, it is not possible at this time to assess their status and  
6 determine if protection of pollinators or their habitat is necessary. If essential pollinators  
7 are declining through habitat loss, Hoover’s spurge may be declining in response (USFWS  
8 2005).
- 9 • At least five of the 30 known occurrences of Hoover’s spurge total fewer than 100  
10 individuals (CNDDDB 2006). Two other occurrences have populations of only a few hundred  
11 individuals. Small populations are threatened with extirpation from random events, such as  
12 extreme weather and lack of genetic diversity. Small, less genetically diverse populations are  
13 less likely to adapt and survive environmental changes, even relatively minor events  
14 (USFWS 2005).
- 15 • Several other threats to vernal pools and their associated species in general were identified in  
16 the Recovery Plan. Water contamination can occur from use of herbicides, fertilizers, and  
17 other chemicals commonly used in urban and agricultural settings. Fertilizers may also  
18 contribute to the growth of invasive plants (USFWS 2005). Increased human presence may  
19 lead to overuse, trampling (by walking or off-road vehicles), vandalism, and dumping (62 FR  
20 14338). Habitat alteration may also occur due to large-scale climate and environmental  
21 changes, such as global warming, which lead to changes in the precipitation pattern and  
22 atmospheric conditions (USFWS 2005).

### 23 **A.30.6 Relevant Conservation Efforts**

24 Ten occurrences of Hoover’s spurge are in preserves or on public land. The Vina Plains Preserve,  
25 managed by The Nature Conservancy, includes four of the extant occurrences (in Tehama County)  
26 and one presumed extirpated occurrence (in Butte County). The California Department of Fish and  
27 Game manages two of the extant occurrences in Tulare County as part of the Stone Corral Ecological  
28 Reserve complex. Three occurrences are in Glenn County on the Sacramento National Wildlife  
29 Refuge (CNDDDB 2006). One additional occurrence in Merced County is on private land (the Bert  
30 Crane Ranch) that is protected from development by a conservation easement (USFWS 2005). The  
31 three extant occurrences of Hoover’s spurge in Butte County are on private lands (CNDDDB 2006).

### 32 **A.30.7 Species Habitat Suitability Model**

#### 33 **A.30.7.1 Habitat**

34 Hoover’s spurge habitat includes areas with suitable soil type in the following BRCP mapped  
35 land cover types:

- 1 • Vernal pools;
- 2 • Altered vernal pools; and
- 3 • Grassland with vernal swale complex.

4 Vernal pools that may support Hoover’s spurge habitat may also occur as inclusions in mapped  
5 grassland, blue oak savanna, ranchettes—open, and disturbed ground land cover types. These  
6 inclusions were not mapped because they did not meet the mapping criteria for vernal pool,  
7 altered vernal pool, and grassland with vernal swale complex land cover types.

### 8 **A.30.7.2 Assumptions**

9 Hoover’s spurge is restricted to vernal pools and, in Butte County, occurs in valley and foothill  
10 grasslands on volcanic mudflow or clay substrate (CNDDDB 2011). Natural pools in which the  
11 plant occurs are primarily classified as Northern Hardpan and Northern Claypan vernal pools  
12 within annual grassland (Sawyer and Keeler-Wolf 1995). The species may occur along the  
13 margins or in the deepest portions of the dried pool-bed, although deeper pools seem to provide  
14 better habitat because the duration of inundation is longer and other vegetation would be less  
15 likely to establish in the deeper portions, which would limit competition with other plants  
16 (USFWS 2005).

17 Given these habitat preferences, suitable habitat for the Hoover’s spurge is defined as any  
18 mapped vernal pool or altered vernal pool within the Plan Area. Additionally, the grassland with  
19 vernal swale complex land cover type is included in the model. This type may include areas that  
20 pool in a given year but that were not captured as individual vernal pools in the GIC/SAIC vernal  
21 pool mapping effort. Because vernal elements were identified based on photo interpretation of  
22 aerial photography from winter 2002 (an average rainfall year), an above average rainfall year  
23 may result in more areas of ponded water within the Plan Area.

### 24 **A.30.8 Recovery Plan Goals**

25 A general statement for recovery of Hoover’s spurge is presented in the Recovery Plan to ensure  
26 protection of the full geographic, genetic and ecological extent of this species and to improve the  
27 circumstances that caused it to be listed in the first place. Accomplishment of this goal would be  
28 achieved by protecting 80 percent of known occurrences throughout its range, including 95 percent  
29 of suitable habitat in the Oroville, Vina Plains, Grasslands Ecological Area in San Joaquin Valley,  
30 Sacramento NWR, and Merced Core Areas, and 85 percent of suitable habitat in the Cottonwood  
31 Creek, Tulare, and Turlock Core Areas. In addition, seed would be banked from at least one  
32 population in each core area. Historical locations would be investigated and the species would be  
33 reintroduced where it has been extirpated.

34

## 1 A.30.9 References

### 2 Literature Cited

3 Calflora. 2007. Berkeley, California: The Calflora Database. Accessed February 7, 2007 from  
4 <http://www.calflora.org/>.

5 CNDDDB (California Natural Diversity Database). 2006. Natural Heritage Division. California  
6 Department of Fish and Game, State of California. RareFind, October.

7 CNDDDB (California Natural Diversity Database). 2011. California Department of Fish and  
8 Game, Sacramento.

9 CNPS (California Native Plant Society). 2006. Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants (online  
10 edition, v7-06d). California Native Plant Society. Sacramento, CA. Accessed on December 4,  
11 2006 from <http://www.cnps.org/inventory>.

12 DFG (California Department of Fish and Game). 2011. Special Vascular Plants, Bryophytes, and  
13 Lichens List, Natural Diversity Database. Accessed May 21, 2011 from  
14 <http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/SPPlants.pdf>.

15 Hickman, J. C., ed. 1993. The Jepson Manual. Berkeley: University of California Press.

16 Sawyer, J. O., and T. Keeler-Wolf. 1995. A Manual of California Vegetation. Sacramento: California  
17 Native Plant Society.

18 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2005. Recovery Plan for Vernal Pool Ecosystems of  
19 California and Southern Oregon. Portland, OR.

### 20 Federal Register

21 62 FR 14338. 1997. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Determination of Endangered  
22 Status for Three Plants and Threatened Status for Five Plants from Vernal Pools in the  
23 Central Valley of California; Final Rule. *Federal Register* 62:14338.

24 71 FR 7118. 2006. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Designation of Critical  
25 Habitat for Four Vernal Pool Crustaceans and Eleven Vernal Pool Plants; Final Rule.  
26 *Federal Register* 71:7118.

27